

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 TRUSTEES OF THE IL WU-PMA PENSION  
7 PLAN,

8 Plaintiff,

9 No. C 08-03136 JSW

10 v.  
11 EMELDA PETERS, et al.,  
12 Defendants.

13 **ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
14 AND RECOMMENDATION**

15 EMELDA PETERS,  
16 Cross-Complainant,  
17 v.  
18 DOROTHY ELDRIDGE,  
19 Cross-Defendant.

20 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
21  
22 The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero's Report and  
23 Recommendation ("Report") regarding Dorothy Eldridge's motion for summary judgment, the  
24 Trustees of the ILWU-PMA Pension Plan's ("Trustees") applications for default judgment  
25 against Rose Peters and Joyce Chapman. The time for filing objections has passed, and there  
26 have been no objections filed thereto.<sup>1</sup> The Court finds the Report correct, well-reasoned and

27  
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> Although Emelda Peters did not file objections to the report and recommendation, Emelda Peters submitted a letter on September 15, 2009 in which she states that Magistrate Judge Spero did not have jurisdiction to issue the Report because Rose Peters did not file a consent to have this case heard by a magistrate judge and because this Court did not designate Magistrate Judge Spero to issue a report and recommendation. The Court finds

1 thorough, and adopts it in every respect. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Dorothy Eldridge's  
2 motion for summary judgment and GRANTS the Trustee's applications for default judgment  
3 against Rose Peters and Joyce Chapman.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: September 21, 2009

*Jeffrey S. White*  
7 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Emelda Peter's belated and procedurally improper attempt to object to the Report is not well  
26 taken. This Court referred this action for all purposes to Magistrate Judge Spero on April 20,  
27 2009. By June 15, 2009, it was clear that Rose Peters was not going to appear in this matter.  
28 On July 16, 2009, Magistrate Judge Spero issued his Report. Any objections to the Report  
were due by July 30, 2009. The Court finds that its authority to designate a magistrate judge  
to conduct hearings and submit proposed findings of facts and recommendations for the  
disposition encompasses the ability to consider a report and recommendation that has been  
issued.